Area Committee East (Area 6) 10th May 2016

Title	e of paper:	Delegated Authority Pro	ojects			
Dire	ctor(s)/	Andy Vaughan		Wards affected:		
Cor	porate Director(s):	Corporate Director		Dales, Mapperley		
_		Commercial and Opera	tions	and St Ann's		
Rep	ort author(s) and	Michelle Graley, Neighbourhood Development Officer (St Anns)				
con	tact details:	0115 8839808	·	·	•	
		michelle.graley@nottinghamcity.gov.uk				
		Greg Foister, Neighbourhood Development Officer (Dales)				
		0115 8839807				
		greg.foister@nottingha	mcity.gov.uk			
		Anita Winter, Senior Accounts Technician				
		anita.winter@nottingha	mcity.gov.uk			
Other colleagues who						
	provided input:		Г			
Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s)						
(IT re	elevant)					
Polo	evant Council Plan h	Cov Thoma:				
	tegic Regeneration a					
	<u> </u>	na Development				
Schools Planning and Housing						
Community Services					X	
Energy, Sustainability and Customer					<u> </u>	
	s, Growth and Transp					
Adul	lts, Health and Comm	nunity Sector				
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years						
Leisure and Culture						
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration					Χ	
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):						
This report advises the Area Committee of the use of delegated authority by the Director of						
Commercial and Operations.						
Recommendation(s): 1 That the Area Committee note the actions agreed by the Corporate Director of Commercial						
•		espect of projects and sc				
	and operations in te	sopool of projects and so	nomoo witiin Alea		GIAT)	
2	Note that Ward Clirs	s have been allocated £5	k each for 2016/20	17 to support the wo	rk in their	
	Ward.					

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Records detailing Member's spending decisions and consultation are shown in the attached appendix. In accordance with the Constitution, the Area Committee is required to note spending decisions taken by Ward Members.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 This action follows the arrangements established by the Executive Board to allow for spending approvals through individual Member budget allocations.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Covered within the appendix

4 FINANCE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 Members have been allocated an individual allocation for 2015/2016 of £5,000 (agreed at Full Council on 9th March 2015). Arrangements agreed by Executive Board for spending the money were reported to the Area Committee at its meeting in May 2012.
- 4.2 The full balance for 2015/2016 has not been allocated. Further projects will be reported to a subsequent committee.

5 <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)</u>

- 5.1 These arrangements provide transparency and regulation to the spending of individual Member's allocation.
- 5.2 The funds allocated by Area Committee are used to address diverse needs from various sections of the community and reduce inequalities.

6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)

6.1 None

7 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

7.1	Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?				
	No An EIA is not required because: (Please explain why an EIA is not necessary)	X			
	Yes				

8 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

8.1 Executive Board – 20th May 2008 – Ward member Budgets

9	PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT
9.1	Executive Board report Area Capital Resource Allocations for 2011-13, 19 th July 2011